arXiv:1408.1904v1 [math.CA] 8 Aug 2014

A congruence property of irreducible Laguerre polynomials in two variables

Nikolai A. Krylov and Zhangyuan Li

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a version of irreducible Laguerre polynomials in two variables and prove for it a congruence property, which is similar to the one obtained by Carlitz for the classical Laguerre polynomials in one variable.

Keywords: Laguerre polynomials; polynomials modulo an integer;
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C45, 33C50, 11C08.

1 Introduction

The generalized Laguerre polynomials in one variable are defined for an arbitrary integer $n \geq 0$ and a parameter $\alpha > -1$ by Rodrigues' relation (see, for example, [4], §1.4.2)

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{n!} e^x x^{-\alpha} \cdot D^n \left(e^{-x} x^{n+\alpha} \right), \quad \text{where} \quad D^n := \frac{d^n}{dx^n}.$$

In this paper we will consider only non-negative integer values for the parameter α, i.e. we assume from now on that $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Expanding the definition of $L_n^\alpha(x)$ using the n-fold product rule and the Pochhammer symbol defined for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(x)_0 = 1, \quad (x)_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x + i - 1) \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

one immediately comes to the following explicit formulas ([4], §1.4.2):

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(\alpha+1)_n \cdot (-n)_j}{(\alpha+1)_j \cdot n!} \cdot \frac{x^j}{j!} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \cdot \binom{n+\alpha}{n-j} \cdot x^j \tag{1}$$

It was established by Schur ([8]) in 1929 that $L_n(x) = L_n^0(x)$ are irreducible over the rationals for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recently this result was generalized by Filaseta and Lam who proved that for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the polynomials $L_n^\alpha(x)$ where α is a rational number which is not a negative integer, are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [6]). Note that reducible L_n^α do exist, for example, $L_2^2(x) = 1/2(x-2)(x-6)$. One of the key characteristics of the Laguerre polynomials $L_n^\alpha(x)$ (with a fixed $\alpha > -1$) is that they are orthogonal over the interval $(0, \infty)$ with respect to the weight function $\omega(x) = e^{-x} x^\alpha$ (see chapter 1 of [4]). They also satisfy other interesting properties, including the one due to Carlitz ([3]), who proved in 1954 that for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and a rational number α that is integral $\pmod m$,

$$(n+m)! L_{n+m}^\alpha(x) \equiv n! L_n^\alpha(x) \cdot m! L_m^\alpha(x) \pmod m. \tag{2}$$

There are various examples of families of orthogonal polynomials in several variables and certain properties of the following multivariable Laguerre polynomials have been studied in [4] and [2].

$$L_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}^{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r}(x_1,\ldots,x_r) = L_{n_1}^{\alpha_1}(x_1)\cdot L_{n_2}^{\alpha_2}(x_2)\cdot\ldots\cdot L_{n_r}^{\alpha_r}(x_r) \tag{3}$$

Such multivariable Laguerre polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the weight function, which is the product of the corresponding weight functions $x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot x_r^{\alpha_r}\cdot e^{-(x_1+\ldots+x_r)}$ over the domain, which is the cartesian product of the corresponding domains $\mathbb{R}_+^d = \{(x_1,\ldots,x_r)\mid 0<x_j<\infty,\quad j\in\{1,2,\ldots,r\}\}$ (see [2] and [4], §2.3.5). It is also clear from (3) that such multiple Laguerre polynomials are reducible as soon as they have more than one variable.

In this paper, we introduce a version of two-variable Laguerre polynomials $L_{n,m}(x,y)$, which are irreducible over the rationals and prove that such Laguerre polynomials satisfy a congruence relation similar to (2).

The rest of this paper is divided up as follows. In §2, we introduce our version of Laguerre polynomials in x and y using Rodrigues formula with partial derivatives and derive the corresponding explicit formulas similar to (1). In §3, we establish several auxiliary lemmas and use them to give another proof of the congruence (2) of Carlitz. §4 contains a proof of the corresponding congruence for two-variable Laguerre polynomials (see (18) below). In §5, we discuss the irreducibility over $\mathbb{Q}$. Other properties of $L_{n,m}(x,y)$, including the orthogonality, shall be discussed somewhere else.

Acknowledgement: The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the Siena Summer Scholars program, that funds scholarly activities in which faculty members and students of Siena College collaborate during the summer. This article is a result of such collaboration.

2 Laguerre Polynomials in two variables

As we already wrote, the Laguerre polynomials in x are defined for an arbitrary integer $n\geq 0$ and the parameter $\alpha=0$ by Rodrigues' relation $L_n(x)=\frac{1}{n!}e^x\cdot D^n\left(e^{-x}x^n\right)$. We apply this approach to define the Laguerre polynomials in two variables as follows.

Definition 1. *For all $n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ let*

$$L_{n,m}(x,y) := \frac{1}{n!\cdot m!}e^{(x+y)/2}\cdot D_\partial^{n+m}\left(e^{(-x-y)/2}x^n y^m\right),\quad \textit{where}\quad D_\partial(f(x,y)) := f_x(x,y)+f_y(x,y).$$

Note: *Since $e^{(x+y)/2}\cdot D_\partial\left(e^{(-x-y)/2}f(x,y)\right) = f_x(x,y)+f_y(x,y)-f(x,y)$, if it happens that $f(x,y)$ depends only on a single variable x we obtain*

$$e^{(x+y)/2}\cdot D_\partial\left(e^{(-x-y)/2}f(x,y)\right) = \frac{d}{dx}f(x)-f(x) = e^x\cdot D\left(e^{-x}f(x)\right),$$

and hence naturally

$$L_{n,0}(x,y) = \frac{1}{n!\cdot 0!}e^{(x+y)/2}\cdot D_\partial^n\left(e^{(-x-y)/2}x^n y^0\right) = L_n(x),\qquad L_{0,m}(x,y)=L_m(y).$$

By the same argument we also have

$$L_{n,m}(x,x) = \frac{1}{n!\cdot m!}e^{(x+y)/2}\cdot D_\partial^{n+m}\left(e^{(-x-y)/2}x^{n+m}\right) = \binom{n+m}{n}\cdot L_{n+m}(x).$$

Before giving the explicit formulas for $L_{n,m}(x,y)$ we prove the following formula.

Lemma 1. *For all $n,\ m,\ t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have*

$$e^{(x+y)/2} \cdot D_\partial^t \left(e^{(-x-y)/2} x^n y^m \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(t,m)} \binom{t}{i} \cdot \frac{m!}{(m-i)!} \cdot \left(e^x D^{t-i} \left(e^{-x} x^n \right) \right) \cdot y^{m-i}, \tag{4}$$

where $D = \frac{d}{dx}$ is as in the definition of the classical Laguerre polynomials.

Proof. We use induction on t so suppose $t = 0$. Hence $e^{(x+y)/2} \cdot D_\partial^t (e^{(-x-y)/2} x^n y^m) = x^n y^m$ and the R.H.S. of (4) also yields a single term $x^n y^m$. Now assume that (4) is true for $t = k-1$, then

$$e^{(x+y)/2} \cdot D_\partial^k \left(e^{(-x-y)/2} x^n y^m \right) = e^{(x+y)/2} \cdot D_\partial \left(e^{(-x-y)/2} \cdot e^{(x+y)/2} \cdot D_\partial^{k-1} \left(e^{(-x-y)/2} x^n y^m \right) \right) =$$

by induction hypothesis (and assuming for a moment that $k-1 < m$)

$$= e^{(x+y)/2} \cdot D_\partial \Bigg(e^{(-x-y)/2} \Bigg(e^x D^{k-1} \left(e^{-x} x^n \right) y^m + \cdots + \binom{k-1}{i} \frac{m!}{(m-i)!} e^x D^{k-1-i} \left(e^{-x} x^n \right) y^{m-i} +$$

$$+ \binom{k-1}{i+1} \frac{m!}{(m-i-1)!} e^x D^{k-1-i-1} \left(e^{-x} x^n \right) y^{m-i-1} + \cdots + \frac{m!}{(m-k+1)!} x^n y^{m-k+1} \Bigg) \Bigg). \tag{5}$$

Since $e^{(x+y)/2} \cdot D_\partial \left(e^{(-x-y)/2} g(x) y^k \right) = \big(g'(x) - g(x) \big) y^k + k g(x) y^{k-1} = e^x \cdot D(e^{-x} g(x)) y^k + k g(x) y^{k-1}$, replacing each term in (5) by the corresponding two terms we can write

$$(5) = e^x \cdot D^k (e^{-x} x^n) y^m + m e^x \cdot D^{k-1} (e^{-x} x^n) y^{m-1} + \cdots + \binom{k-1}{i} \frac{m!}{(m-i)!} e^x \cdot D^{k-i} (e^{-x} x^n) y^{m-i} +$$

$$+ \binom{k-1}{i} \frac{m!}{(m-i-1)!} e^x \cdot D^{k-i-1} (e^{-x} x^n) y^{m-i-1} + \binom{k-1}{i+1} \frac{m!}{(m-i-1)!} e^x D^{k-1-i} \left(e^{-x} x^n \right) y^{m-i-1} +$$

$$+ \binom{k-1}{i+1} \frac{m!}{(m-i-2)!} e^x D^{k-1-i-1} \left(e^{-x} x^n \right) y^{m-i-2} + \cdots +$$

$$+ \frac{m!}{(m-k+1)!} e^x D(e^{-x} x^n) y^{m-k+1} + \frac{m!}{(m-k)!} x^n y^{m-k}. \tag{6}$$

Notice that if $m = k-1$ then (6) ends with $\frac{m!}{(m-k+1)!} e^x D(e^{-x} x^n) y^{m-k+1} = m! e^x D(e^{-x} x^n)$. Now, combining in (6) the coefficients of terms that have the same degree in y, introducing $j := i+1$, and using the identity $\binom{a-1}{b} + \binom{a-1}{b+1} = \binom{a}{b+1}$ we obtain further that when $k \le m$,

$$(6) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \cdot \frac{m!}{(m-j)!} \cdot \left(e^x D^{k-j} \left(e^{-x} x^n \right) \right) \cdot y^{m-j},$$

which finishes the induction and proves lemma. If $k-1 = m$ the alternation in formula is obvious. □

Next theorem gives the explicit formulas for $L_{n,m}(x,y)$ (cf. with (1)).

Theorem 1. *For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have*

$$L_{n,m}(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \cdot \binom{m+n}{m-i} \cdot L_n^i(x) \cdot y^i = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^s}{s!} \cdot \binom{n+m}{n-s} \cdot L_m^s(y) \cdot x^s, \qquad \textit{and}$$

$$L_{n,m}(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{i+s}}{i! \cdot s!} \cdot \binom{m+n}{m-i} \cdot \binom{n+i}{n-s} \cdot x^s \cdot y^i \tag{7}$$

Proof. Using lemma 1 and formula (4) we can write

$$e^{(x+y)/2}\cdot D_\partial^{n+m}\left(e^{(-x-y)/2}x^n y^m\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{m+n}{j}\cdot\frac{m!}{(m-j)!}\cdot\left(e^x D^{n+m-j}\left(e^{-x}x^n\right)\right)\cdot y^{m-j},$$

which implies that for $i:=m-j\in\{0,\dots,m\}$, $L_{n,m}(x,y)=$

$$\frac{1}{n!\cdot m!}\cdot\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{m+n}{m-i}\cdot\frac{m!}{i!}\cdot\left(e^x D^{n+i}\left(e^{-x}x^n\right)\right)\cdot y^i=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{1}{i!}\cdot\binom{m+n}{m-i}\cdot\left(\frac{1}{n!}e^x D^{n+i}\left(e^{-x}x^n\right)\right)\cdot y^i \quad (8)$$

Since for Laguerre polynomials in a single variable x (see [4], §1.4.2)

$$\frac{d}{dx}\big(L_k^\alpha(x)\big)=-L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(x)\quad\text{and}\quad L_k^\alpha(x)=L_k^{\alpha+1}(x)-L_{k-1}^{\alpha+1}(x)\Rightarrow e^x D^t(e^{-x}L_k^\alpha(x))=(-1)^t\cdot L_k^{\alpha+t}(x)$$

we can continue formula (8) and write

$$L_{n,m}(x,y)=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{1}{i!}\cdot\binom{m+n}{m-i}\cdot\left(e^x D^i\big(e^{-x}L_n(x)\big)\right)\cdot y^i=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{(-1)^i}{i!}\cdot\binom{m+n}{m-i}\cdot L_n^i(x)\cdot y^i,$$

which gives the first formula in (7). The second formula follows either from the symmetry or from an argument similar to the one we just gave. To obtain the third formula recall that by (1),

$$L_n^i(x)=\sum_{s=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^s}{s!}\cdot\binom{n+i}{n-s}\cdot x^s$$

and hence

$$L_{n,m}(x,y)=\sum_{i=0}^{m}\sum_{s=0}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{i+s}}{i!\cdot s!}\binom{m+n}{m-i}\binom{n+i}{n-s}\cdot x^s\cdot y^i,$$

as was required. □

It is well known (see [4], §1.4.2 and §2.3.5) that Laguerre polynomials in one variable satisfy the differential equation

$$x\cdot\frac{d^2}{dx^2}L_n^\alpha(x)+(\alpha+1-x)\cdot\frac{d}{dx}L_n^\alpha(x)+n\cdot L_n^\alpha(x)=0, \quad (9)$$

and the multiple Laguerre polynomials $L_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r}(x_1,\dots,x_r)$ (recall (3) above) satisfy the partial differential equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r}x_i\cdot\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}L_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Big((\alpha_i+1-x_i)\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}L_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r}\Big)+n\cdot L_{n_1,\dots,n_r}^{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_r}=0.$$

Here is the corresponding analog for the Laguerre polynomial $L_{n,m}(x,y)$.

Lemma 2. *For all $n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $L_{n,m}(x,y)$ satisfies the following system of partial differential equations.*

$$\begin{pmatrix}L_{xx}&L_{xy}\\L_{yx}&L_{yy}\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}L_x&0\\0&L_y\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}1-x\\1-y\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}L&0\\0&L\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}n\\m\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix},$$

where we used the notations L for $L_{n,m}(x,y)$, L_x for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}L_{n,m}(x,y)$, L_{xy} for $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x\partial y}L_{n,m}(x,y)$, and so on.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation using our first two explicit formulas in (7) and the differential equation (9). □

3 Another proof of the congruence of Carlitz

In this technical section we prove several auxiliary results. Note that $(x)_n = x \cdot (x+1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (x+n-1)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol, and (p,q) stands for the greatest common divisor of p and q.

Lemma 3. *For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $t \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$, $l \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$, and non-zero $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ the following congruence holds.*

$$(l+1-p)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t+q)_{n-t} \equiv (l+1)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t)_{n-t} \pmod{(p,q)} \tag{10}$$

Proof. We use induction on n. If $n = 0$, then $t = 0$, $(x)_0 = 1$ and the identity has the form

$$(l+1-p)_{m-l} \equiv (l+1)_{m-l} \pmod{(p,q)}$$

But $l + k - p \equiv l + k \pmod{p}$, which implies that

$$(l+1-p) \cdot (l+2-p) \cdot \ldots \cdot (l+(m-l)-p) \equiv (l+1) \cdot (l+2) \cdot \ldots \cdot (l+(m-l)) \pmod{(p,q)}$$

and proves the base of induction.

Assume now that (10) holds for $\forall n \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$ and let us prove it for $n = k+1$. If in this case $t = n = k+1$ then (10) again has the form $(l+1-p)_{m-l} \equiv (l+1)_{m-l} \pmod{(p,q)}$ so we will assume till end of the proof that $t \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$. Then we can write the L.H.S of (10) as

$$(l+1-p)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t+q)_{k+1-t} = (l+1-p)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t+q)_{k-t} \cdot (l+1+k+q),$$

which is congruent modulo q to $(l+1-p)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t+q)_{k-t} \cdot (l+1+k)$. Since by the induction hypothesis

$$(l+1-p)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t+q)_{k-t} \equiv (l+1)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t)_{k-t} \pmod{(p,q)}$$

we deduce that

$$(l+1-p)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t+q)_{k+1-t} \equiv (l+1)_{m-l} \cdot (l+1+t)_{k-t} \cdot (l+1+k) \pmod{(p,q)},$$

and since $(l+1+t)_{k-t} \cdot (l+1+k) = (l+1+t)_{k+1-t}$ the lemma is proved. □

Another way to prove it would be to notice, as we did in the base of induction, that $(l+1+t+q)_{n-t} \equiv (l+1+t) \pmod{q}$ and multiply two congruences modulo p and modulo q together to obtain the congruence modulo (p,q). Our next statement gives a congruence relation for the generalized Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{\alpha}(x)$, which we will use later, and which is interesting in its own right.

Proposition 1. *For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{q, \ldots, m+q\}$, and non-zero $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$(i-q+1-p)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot n! \cdot L_n^i(x) \equiv (i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot n! \cdot L_n^{i-q}(x) \pmod{(p,q)} \tag{11}$$

Proof. If $n = 0$, then $L_n^i(x) = L_n^{i-q}(x) = 1$. If we define $l := i - q$ then the identity we just saw above $(l+1-p)_{m-l} \equiv (l+1)_{m-l} \pmod{(p,q)}$, proves the statement in this case. If $n \geq 1$, to prove the proposition we compare the corresponding coefficients on the L.H.S and on the R.H.S of (11). Since

$$L_n^{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \binom{n+\alpha}{n-j} \cdot x^j$$

we see that the coefficients of x^t, $\forall t \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ on the L.H.S. and on the R.H.S. will be respectively

$$(i-q+1-p)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot (-1)^t \cdot \frac{n!}{t!} \cdot \binom{n+i}{n-t} \quad \text{and} \quad (i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot (-1)^t \cdot \frac{n!}{t!} \cdot \binom{n+i-q}{n-t}.$$

Since

$$\frac{n!}{t!}\cdot\binom{n+i}{n-t}=\binom{n}{t}\cdot(t+i+1)_{n-t}\quad\text{and}\quad\frac{n!}{t!}\cdot\binom{n+i-q}{n-t}=\binom{n}{t}\cdot(t+i-q+1)_{n-t},$$

we can use the congruence, which follows from lemma 3 with $l=i-q$,

$$(i+1-p-q)_{m-(i-q)}\cdot(i+1+t)_{n-t}\equiv(i+1-q)_{m-(i-q)}\cdot(i+1+t-q)_{n-t}\pmod{(p,q)},$$

to obtain that

$$(i-q+1-p)_{m-(i-q)}\cdot(-1)^t\cdot\frac{n!}{t!}\cdot\binom{n+i}{n-t}=(-1)^t\cdot\binom{n}{t}\cdot(t+i+1)_{n-t}\cdot(i+1-p-q)_{m-(i-q)}$$

is congruent modulo the $\gcd(p,q)$ to

$$(-1)^t\cdot\binom{n}{t}\cdot(i+1+t-q)_{n-t}\cdot(i+1-q)_{m-(i-q)}=(-1)^t\cdot(i+1-q)_{m-(i-q)}\cdot\frac{n!}{t!}\cdot\binom{n+i-q}{n-t}.$$

It shows that the coefficients of x^t on the L.H.S. and on the R.H.S. of (11) coincide modulo the (p,q) and proves the proposition. □

Here is a cute congruence, which follows trivially from this proposition by taking $p=q$ and $i=m+q$.

Corollary 1. *For all $n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $q\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$n!L_n^{m+q}(x)\equiv n!L_n^m(x)\pmod{q}$$

The proposition will be used later in the proof of our main result. Let us continue with a few more congruences before proving the identity (2) in a direct way (cf. with Theorem 3 of [3]). In the next section this identity together with the proof will be generalized to the case of Laguerre polynomials $L_{n,m}(x,y)$.

Lemma 4. *For all $m,n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $q\in\mathbb{N}$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$, and $i\in\{q,\dots,m+q\}$ we have*

$$\binom{m}{m+q-i}\cdot(n+p+i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)}\equiv\binom{m+n}{m+q-i}\cdot(i-q-p+1)_{m-(i-q)}\pmod{(p,q)}\qquad(12)$$

Proof. Using $a+b\equiv a\pmod{b}$, $\forall a\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\forall b\in\mathbb{N}$ deduce that

$$(n+p+i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)}=(n+p+i-q+1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(n+p+m)\equiv(n+i-q+1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(n+m)\pmod{p},$$

and $(n+i-q+1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(n+m)\equiv(n+i+1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(n+m+q)=(n+i+1)_{m-(i-q)}\pmod{q}$,

which imply that the L.H.S. of (12)

$$\binom{m}{m+q-i}\cdot(n+p+i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)}\equiv\binom{m}{m+q-i}\cdot(n+i+1)_{m-(i-q)}\pmod{(p,q)}.$$

Similarly we have for the R.H.S. of (12)

$$\binom{m+n}{m+q-i}\cdot(i-q-p+1)_{m-(i-q)}\equiv\binom{m+n}{m+q-i}\cdot(i+1)_{m-(i-q)}\pmod{(p,q)},$$

and therefore (12) will follow from

$$\binom{m}{m+q-i}\cdot(n+i+1)_{m-(i-q)}\equiv\binom{m+n}{m+q-i}\cdot(i+1)_{m-(i-q)}\pmod{(p,q)}.\qquad(13)$$

Furthermore,

$$\binom{m}{m+q-i}\cdot(n+i+1)_{m-(i-q)}=\frac{m!\cdot(n+m+q)!}{(i-q)!\cdot(m+q-i)!\cdot(n+i)!}=\binom{n+m+q}{m+q-i}\cdot\frac{m!}{(i-q)!}$$

and

$$\binom{m+n}{m+q-i}\cdot(i+1)_{m-(i-q)}=\frac{(m+n)!\cdot(m+q)!}{(n+i-q)!\cdot(m+q-i)!\cdot i!}=(n+i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)}\cdot\binom{m+q}{m+q-i},$$

with $(n+i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)}\equiv(n+i+1)_{m-(i-q)} \pmod q$ imply that

$$\binom{m+n}{m+q-i}\cdot(i+1)_{m-(i-q)}\equiv\binom{m+q}{m+q-i}\cdot(n+i+1)_{m-(i-q)}=\binom{m+n+q}{m+q-i}\cdot\frac{(m+q)!}{i!} \pmod q.$$

Hence (13) will follow from

$$\binom{m+n+q}{m+q-i}\cdot\frac{m!}{(i-q)!}\equiv\binom{m+n+q}{m+q-i}\cdot\frac{(m+q)!}{i!} \pmod q. \tag{14}$$

Since $m!/(i-q)!=(i-q+1)\cdot\ldots\cdot m\equiv(i+1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(m+q)=(m+q)!/i! \pmod q$ this congruence (14) is true and thus our formula (12) is proven. □

Corollary 2. *For all $m,s\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $q\in\mathbb{N}$, and $i\in\{q,\ldots,m+q\}$ we have*

$$(m+q-i)!\cdot\binom{m}{m+q-i}\cdot\binom{m+s}{m+q-i}\equiv(m+q-i)!\cdot\binom{m+q}{m+q-i}\cdot\binom{m+s+q}{m+q-i} \pmod q. \tag{15}$$

Proof. Using notations from lemma 5 and assuming that $p=-q$ and $n=s+q$ with $s\in\mathbb{N}_0$ we obtain

$$(n+p+i-q+1)_{m+q-i}=(m+q-i)!\cdot\binom{m+s}{m+q-i} \text{ and } (i-q-p+1)_{m+q-i}=(m+q-i)!\cdot\binom{m+q}{m+q-i}.$$

Hence we can rewrite lemma 5 as

$$(m+q-i)!\cdot\binom{m}{m+q-i}\cdot\binom{m+s}{m+q-i}\equiv(m+q-i)!\cdot\binom{m+q}{m+q-i}\cdot\binom{m+s+q}{m+q-i} \pmod q,$$

which is what we had to show. □

Please notice that, for example if $m=3$, $q=6$, $s=5$, and $i=6$, then for the binomial factors from (15) we have

$$\binom{3}{3}\cdot\binom{3+5}{3}-\binom{3+6}{3}\cdot\binom{3+6+5}{3}\equiv 2 \pmod 6,$$

so the factor $(m+q-i)!$ in (15) is necessary to guarantee the equality. Now we are ready to give a direct proof of Carlitz' identity for the classical Laguerre polynomials.

Corollary 3. *(see [3]) For all $n,i\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $p\in\mathbb{N}$ the following congruence holds.*

$$(n+p)!L^i_{n+p}(x)\equiv n!L^i_n(x)\cdot p!L^i_p(x) \pmod p$$

Proof. First observe that $p!\cdot L^i_p(x)\equiv(-1)^p x^p \pmod p$ (cf. with (4.6) of [3]). Indeed, $p!\cdot L^i_p(x)=$

$$=\sum_{t=0}^{p}(-1)^t\cdot\frac{p!}{t!}\cdot\binom{p+i}{p-t}\cdot x^t=p\cdot\sum_{t=0}^{p-1}(-1)^t\cdot(t+1)_{p-1-t}\cdot\binom{p+i}{p-t}\cdot x^t+(-1)^p x^p\equiv(-1)^p x^p \pmod p.$$

Therefore it's enough to show that

$$(n+p)!L^i_{n+p}(x) \equiv (-1)^p x^p \cdot n!L^i_n(x) \pmod{p}. \tag{16}$$

We do it by comparing the coefficients of x^t on both sides of (16). Suppose first that $t \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, then the coefficient on the R.H.S. of (16) is zero. The corresponding coefficient on the L.H.S. of (16) is

$$(-1)^t \cdot \frac{(n+p)!}{t!}\binom{n+p+i}{n+p-t} = (-1)^t \cdot \binom{n+p+i}{n+p-t} \cdot \frac{(p-1)!}{t!} \cdot p \cdot (p+1) \cdot \ldots \cdot (n+p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Assume now that $t \in \{p, \ldots, n+p\}$. Using (1) again we see that the coefficients of x^t on the left and right hand sides of (16) are respectively

$$(-1)^t \cdot \frac{(n+p)!}{t!} \cdot \binom{n+p+i}{n+p-t} \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^p \cdot (-1)^{t-p} \cdot \frac{n!}{(t-p)!} \cdot \binom{n+i}{n+p-t}. \tag{17}$$

Canceling $(-1)^t$ on both sides we can rewrite these coefficients as

$$(n+p-t)! \cdot \binom{n+p}{n+p-t} \cdot \binom{n+p+i}{n+p-t} \quad \text{and} \quad (n+p-t)! \cdot \binom{n}{n+p-t} \cdot \binom{n+i}{n+p-t}.$$

Applying corollary 2 with $n = m,\ p = q,\ t = i$, and $i = s$ we deduce that these coefficients are congruent (mod p). This finishes our proof of the identity (2). □

4 Main theorem

Theorem 2. *For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ we have (cf. with (2) above)*

$$(n+p)!(m+q)! \cdot L_{n+p,m+q}(x,y) \equiv n!m! \cdot L_{n,m}(x,y) \cdot p!q! \cdot L_{p,q}(x,y) \pmod{(p,q)}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. We will compare the corresponding coefficients of $x^t \cdot y^i$ on both sides of the congruence. Similarly to the one variable case we have $p!q! \cdot L_{p,q}(x,y) \equiv (-1)^{p+q} \cdot x^p y^q \pmod{(p,q)}$. Indeed, using our third formula in (7) we have

$$p!q! \cdot L_{p,q}(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{q}\sum_{t=0}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{i+t} \cdot p!q!}{i!t!} \cdot \binom{p+q}{q-i}\binom{p+i}{p-t} \cdot x^t y^i,$$

so if $i+t < p+q$ then $\gcd(p,q) \mid p!q!/i!t!$ since either $i < q$ or $t < p$. If $i = q$ and $t = p$, the coefficient of $x^p y^q$ equals $(-1)^{p+q}$ and hence

$$n!m! \cdot L_{n,m}(x,y) \cdot p!q! \cdot L_{p,q}(x,y) \equiv (-1)^{p+q} \cdot x^p y^q \cdot n!m! \cdot L_{n,m}(x,y) \pmod{(p,q)}. \tag{19}$$

If we take the coefficient of $x^t y^i$ in $(n+p)!(m+q)! \cdot L_{n+p,m+q}(x,y)$ with $t < p$ or $i < q$ we will have

$$(-1)^{i+t}\frac{(n+p)!(m+q)!}{t!i!} \cdot \binom{m+q+n+p}{m+q-i} \cdot \binom{n+p+i}{n+p-t},$$

and if, for example, $t < p$ then the integer $\frac{(n+p)!}{t!}$ is divisible by p, and hence by $\gcd(p,q)$. Since $\frac{(m+q)!}{i!}$, $\binom{m+q+n+p}{m+q-i}$, and $\binom{n+p+i}{n+p-t}$ are all integers, $\gcd(p,q)$ divides the coefficient of $x^t y^i$. If $t \geq p$ but $i < q$ the proof is similar since $q \mid \frac{(m+q)!}{i!}$. So to prove theorem 2 it is enough to show that the coefficients of $x^t y^i$ on the L.H.S. and the R.H.S. of (18) are congruent (mod (p,q)) for all $p \leq t \leq n+p$ and $q \leq i \leq m+q$.

Thus we assume from now on that $t \in \{p, \ldots, n+p\}$ and $i \in \{q, \ldots, m+q\}$. According to the first formula from our theorem 1, the coefficient of y^i on the L.H.S. of (18) is

$$(-1)^i \cdot \frac{(n+p)!(m+q)!}{i!} \cdot \binom{m+q+n+p}{m+q-i} \cdot L^i_{n+p}(x),$$

which is, due to the identity (2),

$$\equiv (-1)^{i+p} \cdot \frac{(m+q)!}{i!} \cdot \binom{m+q+n+p}{m+q-i} \cdot n! \cdot L_n^i(x) \cdot x^p \pmod{p}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{(m+q)!}{i!} \cdot \binom{m+q+n+p}{m+q-i} = (m+q-i)! \cdot \binom{m+q}{m+q-i} \cdot \binom{m+q+n+p}{m+q-i},$$

which is according to corollary 2 for $s = n+p$ congruent $\pmod{q}$ to

$$(m+q-i)! \cdot \binom{m}{m+q-i} \cdot \binom{m+n+p}{m+q-i} = \binom{m}{m+q-i} \cdot (n+p+i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)},$$

which by lemma 5 is

$$\equiv \binom{m+n}{m+q-i} \cdot (i-q-p+1)_{m-(i-q)} \pmod{(p,q)}.$$

It shows that the coefficient of y^i on the L.H.S. of (18) is

$$\equiv (-1)^{i+p} \cdot \binom{m+n}{m+q-i} \cdot (i-q-p+1)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot n! \cdot L_n^i(x) \cdot x^p \pmod{(p,q)}.$$

Furthermore, proposition 1 allows to replace $(i-q-p+1)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot n! \cdot L_n^i(x)$ by $(i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot n! \cdot L_n^{i-q}(x)$ modulo (p,q), so the coefficient of y^i on the L.H.S. of (18) is

$$\equiv (-1)^{i+p} \cdot \binom{m+n}{m+q-i} \cdot (i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot n! \cdot L_n^{i-q}(x) \cdot x^p \pmod{(p,q)}. \tag{20}$$

Now let $s := t-p$, then according to (1), the coefficient of x^t in (20) will be

$$(-1)^{i+p} \cdot \binom{m+n}{m+q-i} \cdot (i-q+1)_{m-(i-q)} \cdot \frac{(-1)^s n!}{s!} \cdot \binom{n+i-q}{n+p-t} =$$

$$= (-1)^{i+t} \frac{n!}{(t-p)!} \cdot \frac{m!}{(i-q)!} \cdot \binom{m+n}{m+q-i} \cdot \binom{n+i-q}{n+p-t}.$$

Since by theorem 1, the coefficient of $x^{t-p}y^{i-q}$ in $(-1)^{p+q} \cdot n!m! \cdot L_{n,m}(x,y)$ is

$$(-1)^{p+q} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{t+i-p-q} n!m!}{(t-p)!(i-q)!} \cdot \binom{m+n}{m+q-i} \cdot \binom{n+i-q}{n+p-t},$$

we see that the coefficients of $x^t y^i$ on the L.H.S. of (18) and on the R.H.S. of (19) are congruent $\pmod{(p,q)}$, and thus our theorem is proven. □

5 Irreducibility and other related questions

First we observe that

Lemma 5. *For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the polynomials $L_{n,m}(x,y)$ are irreducible over the rationals.*

Proof. Suppose $n \cdot m \neq 0$ and $L_{n,m}(x,y) = f(x,y) \cdot g(x,y)$ with $\deg f(x,y) > 0$ and $\deg g(x,y) > 0$. Then according to our Note above, $f(x,x) \cdot g(x,x) = L_{n,m}(x,x) = \binom{n+m}{n} \cdot L_{n+m}(x)$. Since $L_k(x)$ is irreducible for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [8] or [6]), we must have either $\deg f(x,x) = 0$ or $\deg g(x,x) = 0$. Assuming without loss of generality that $\deg f(x,x) = 0$ we get $\deg g(x,x) = \deg L_{n+m}(x) = n+m$. Since $\deg g(x,x) \leq \deg g(x,y)$ we deduce from last equality that $\deg L_{n,m}(x,y) = n+m \leq \deg g(x,y)$, which contradicts the assumption that $\deg f(x,y) > 0$. If $n = 0$ or $m = 0$ our polynomial $L_{n,m}(x,y)$ reduces to the classical one in a single variable, which is irreducible. □

As we have mentioned in the introduction, this is the main distinction of our version of two-variable Laguerre polynomials from those considered in [2] and [4]. As for the orthogonality, one can easily check several examples to see that over the domain $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \{(x,y) \mid 0 < x < \infty,\ 0 < y < \infty\}$ the polynomials $L_{n,m}(x,y)$ are neither orthogonal with respect to the weight function $e^{-(x+y)}$ nor with respect to $e^{-(x+y)/2}$. It would be interesting to see if $L_{n,m}(x,y)$ are orthogonal with respect to some other weight function.

Laguerre polynomials in one variable have many interesting combinatorial properties. For example, Even and Gillis [5] in 1976, showed that an integral of a product of the Laguerre polynomials and e^{-x} can be interpreted as certain permutations of a set of objects of different "colors" (*derangements*). Jackson [7] in the same year gave a shorter proof of this result of Even and Gillis using rook polynomials $R_n(x)$. These polynomials satisfy

$$R_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_k \cdot x^k = n! x^n \cdot L_n(-1/x),$$

where r_k stands for the rook number that counts the number of ways of placing k non-attacking rooks on the full $n \times n$ board. We would like to close this paper with a general question if $L_{n,m}(x,y)$ have any combinatorial properties similar to those of $L_n(x)$. In particular, the two-dimensional rook numbers and their certain properties can be generalized to three and higher dimensions (see, for example, [1]), so we ask if

$$n! x^n \cdot m! y^m \cdot L_{n,m}(-1/x, -1/y)$$

have a natural interpretation in terms of rook numbers for three-dimensional boards.

References

[1] Alayont, F.; Krzywonos, N.: *"Rook polynomials in three and higher dimensions"*. Involve 6 (2013), no. 1, 35 - 52.

[2] Aktaş, R.; Erkuş-Duman, E.: *"The Laguerre polynomials in several variables"*. Math. Slovaca 63 (2013), no. 3, 531 - 544.

[3] Carlitz, L.: *"Congruence properties of the polynomials of Hermite, Laguerre and Legendre"*. Math. Z. 59 (1954), 474 - 483.

[4] Dunkl, C.F.; Xu, Y.: *"Orthogonal Polynomials of Several Variables"*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001.

[5] Even, S.; Gillis, J. : *"Derangements and Laguerre polynomials"*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 79 (1976), no. 1, 135 - 143.

[6] Filaseta, M.; Lam, T.-Y.: *"On the irreducibility of the generalized Laguerre polynomials"*. Acta Arith. 105 (2002), no. 2, 177 - 182.

[7] Jackson, D.: *"Laguerre polynomials and derangements"*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 80 (1976), no. 2, 213 - 214.

[8] Schur, I.: *"Einige Sätzeüber Primzahlen mit Anwendungen auf Irreduzibilitätsfragen, I, II"*. Sitzungsberichte Akad. Berlin 1929, 370 - 391 (1929), 125 - 136.

Siena College, Department of Mathematics
515 Loudon Road, Loudonville NY 12211

nkrylov@siena.edu and z31li@siena.edu